arXiv:1409.5966v2 [math.GR] 10 Oct 2014

Relations among complementary and supplementary pairings of Saalschützian ${}_4F_3(1)$ series

R. M. Green Ilia D. Mishev Eric Stade

Abstract

We investigate sums $K(\vec{x})$ and $L(\vec{x})$ of pairs of (suitably normalized) Saalschützian ${}_4F_3(1)$ hypergeometric series, and develop a theory of relations among these K and L functions.

The function $L(\vec{x})$ has been studied extensively in the literature, and has been shown to satisfy a number of two-term and three-term relations with respect to the variable $\vec{x}$. More recent works have framed these relations in terms of Coxeter group actions on $\vec{x}$, and have developed a similar theory of two-term and three-term relations for $K(\vec{x})$.

In this article, we derive "mixed" three-term relations, wherein any one of the L (respectively, K) functions arising in the above context may be expressed as a linear combination of two of the above K (respectively, L) functions. We show that, under the appropriate Coxeter group action, the resulting set of three-term relations (mixed and otherwise) among K and L functions partitions into eighteen orbits. We provide an explicit example of a relation from each orbit.

We further classify the eighteen orbits into five types, with each type uniquely determined by the distances (under a certain natural metric) between the K and L functions in the relation. We show that the type of a relation dictates the complexity (in terms of both number of summands and number of factors in each summand) of the coefficients of the K and L functions therein.

1 Introduction

The theory of hypergeometric series of type ${}_2F_1$ was systematically developed by Gauss [11]. Subsequently, generalized hypergeometric series of type ${}_pF_q$, where p and q are positive integers, were studied in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century by Thomae [29], Barnes [3, 4], Ramanujan [14], Whipple [31, 32, 33], Bailey [1, 2], and others. In particular, relations among ${}_3F_2, {}_4F_3, {}_7F_6$, and ${}_9F_8$ series of unit argument were obtained. More recently, a number of these relations, as well as analogous relations among basic hypergeometric series, have been put into group-theoretic frameworks. See the papers of Beyer et al. [5], Srinavasa Rao et al. [23], Formichella et al. [9], Roy [22], Mishev [20], Van der Jeugt and Srinavasa Rao [30], and Lievens and Van der Jeugt [17, 18]. Other papers of interest include those of Groenevelt [12], van de Bult et al. [6], and Krattenthaler and Rivoal [16].

Among numerous relatively recent applications, hypergeometric series have played a role in the theory of automorphic functions, cf. Bump [7], Stade [24, 25, 26, 27], and Stade and Taggart [28]; and in atomic and molecular physics, cf. works by Drake [8], Grozin [13], and Raynal [21].

In this paper, we expand on the work in [9] and in [20] concerning certain sums of pairs of hypergeometric series.

More specifically: Formichella et al., in [9], study a function denoted $K(a; b, c, d; e, f, g)$, where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g \in \mathbb{C}$ and $e+f+g-a-b-c-d = 1$, which is a linear combination of two Saalschützian ${}_4F_3(1)$ hypergeometric series. (This function $K(a; b, c, d; e, f, g)$ arises in the theory of archimedean zeta integrals for automorphic L functions, cf. [27, 28].) It is shown that $K(a; b, c, d; e, f, g)$ is invariant under a certain action of the symmetric group S_6 on the affine hyperplane

$$V = \{(a, b, c, d, e, f, g)^T \in \mathbb{C}^7 \colon e + f + g - a - b - c - d = 1\},$$

and thus the existence of 720 two-term relations for $K(a; b, c, d; e, f, g)$ is demonstrated. Furthermore, a set of 4960 three-term relations satisfied by $K(a; b, c, d; e, f, g)$ is described, and is classified into five families, based on the notion of Hamming type.

Analogously, Mishev investigates, in [20], a function $L(a, b, c, d; e; f, g)$ that is a different linear combination of two Saalschützian ${}_4F_3(1)$ hypergeometric series. (The series $L(a, b, c, d; e; f, g)$ arises, in [25], in the evaluation

of the Mellin transform of a spherical principal series $GL(4,\mathbb{R})$ Whittaker function, and has been studied in other contexts, as described below.) It is shown in [20] that the Coxeter group $W(D_5)$, which has 1920 elements, is an invariance group for the function $L(a,b,c,d;e;f,g)$, and thus the existence of 1920 two-term relations for $L(a,b,c,d;e;f,g)$ is demonstrated. Moreover, it is shown that $L(a,b,c,d;e;f,g)$ satisfies 220 three-term relations, which are classified into two families based on the notion of L-coherence.

As noted above, the L function has been considered elsewhere. Versions of this function, written in terms of very-well-poised ${}_7F_6(1)$ series, were studied previously by Bailey [1], Whipple [33], and Raynal [21]. (Those authors study two-term and three-term relations, but do not put their results in a group-theoretic framework.) The L function also appears as a Wilson function (a nonpolynomial extension of the Wilson polynomial) in [12]. Van de Bult et al. [6] examine generalizations to elliptic, hyperbolic, and trigonometric hypergeometric functions. A basic hypergeometric series analog of the L function, in terms of ${}_8\phi_7$ series, was studied by Van der Jeugt and Srinavasa Rao [30] and by Lievens and Van der Jeugt [17].

In this paper, we build up on the three-term relations derived in [9] and [20]. In particular, we obtain three-term relations that involve one K and two L functions, called (K,L,L) relations, and three-term relations that involve one L and two K functions, called (L,K,K) relations. We further classify the (K,L,L) relations into four families and the (L,K,K) relations into seven families. The classification is done according to the theory of group actions, as follows.

We consider the action of a matrix group M, isomorphic to the Coxeter group $W(D_6)$ of order 23040, on the hyperplane V. (The group M is the same, elementwise, as the groups M_K and M_L considered in [9] and [20], respectively.) We further consider subgroups G_K and G_L of M: G_K, which is isomorphic to S_6, is an invariance group for $K(a;b,c,d;e,f,g)$, while G_L, which is isomorphic to the Coxeter group $W(D_5)$ of order 1920, is an invariance group for $L(a,b,c,d;e;f,g)$.

For $\vec{x} = (a,b,c,d,e,f,g)^T \in V$, let us denote $K(a;b,c,d;e,f,g)$ and $L(a,b,c,d;e;f,g)$ by $K(\vec{x})$ and $L(\vec{x})$, respectively. We show in this work that:

(a) For any $\sigma,\tau,\mu \in M$ such that τ and μ are in different right cosets of

G_L in M, there exists a three-term relation involving $K(\sigma\vec{x}), L(\tau\vec{x})$, and $L(\mu\vec{x})$. The total number of such (K, L, L) relations is $32 \times \binom{12}{2} = 2112$. These 2112 relations fall into four orbits, of sizes 960, 480, 480, and 192 respectively, under the action of M by right multiplication on $(G_K\backslash M) \times S(L^2)$. Here, $S(L^2)$ denotes the set of two-element subsets of $G_L\backslash M$.

(b) For any $\sigma, \tau, \mu \in M$ such that τ and μ are in different right cosets of G_K in M, there exists a three-term relation involving $L(\sigma\vec{x}), K(\tau\vec{x})$, and $K(\mu\vec{x})$. The total number of such (L, K, K) relations is $12 \times \binom{32}{2} = 5952$. These 5952 (L, K, K) relations fall into seven orbits, of sizes 1920, 960, 960, 960, 480, 480, and 192 respectively, under the action of M by right multiplication on $(G_L\backslash M) \times S(K^2)$ (where $S(K^2)$ denotes the set of two-element subsets of $G_K\backslash M$).

The above results generalize expressions found in [19] for $K(\vec{x})$ as a sum of two particular L functions, and for $L(\vec{x})$ as a sum of two particular K functions.

Thus there are eighteen distinct families of three-term relations for K and L functions: two relating three L functions, five relating three K functions, four relating a K function to a pair of L functions, and seven relating an L function to a pair of K functions. In this paper, we present an explicit relation from each family. We further classify the eighteen families into five types, according a certain metric on the set $T = (G_K\backslash M) \cup (G_L\backslash M)$. Finally, a correspondence is exhibited between the type of a relation and the complexity (measured in terms of the number of summands, and the number of factors in each summand) of the coefficients therein.

2 Definitions and notations

The hypergeometric series of type ${}_{p+1}F_p$ is defined by

$$ {}_{p+1}F_p\left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{p+1}; \\ b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_p; \end{matrix} z\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n \cdots (a_{p+1})_n}{n!(b_1)_n (b_2)_n \cdots (b_p)_n} z^n, $$

where $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{p+1}, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_p, z \in \mathbb{C}$, and the rising factorial $(a)_n$ is given by

$$(a)_n = \begin{cases} a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1), & n > 0, \\ 1, & n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that, by the functional equation

$$\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s) \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0)$$

for the gamma function, we have

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}$$

for $a \notin \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}$.

The series in (2) converges absolutely if $|z| < 1$, or if $|z| = 1$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\sum_{i=1}^{p} b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} a_i) > 0$ (see [2, p. 8]). To avoid poles, we assume that no denominator parameter $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_p$ is a negative integer or zero. If a numerator parameter $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{p+1}$ is a negative integer or zero, the series has only finitely many nonzero terms, and is said to terminate.

When $z = 1$, we say that the series is of *unit argument*, or *of type* ${}_{p+1}F_p(1)$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{p} b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} a_i = 1$, the series is called *Saalschützian* (or, equivalently, *balanced*). If $1 + a_1 = b_1 + a_2 = \cdots = b_p + a_{p+1}$, the series is called *well-poised*. A well-poised series that satisfies $a_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}a_1$ is called *very-well-poised*.

The functions $K(\vec{x}) = K(a; b, c, d; e, f, g)$ and $L(\vec{x}) = L(a, b, c, d; e; f, g)$ studied in [9] and [20], respectively, are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &K(\vec{x}) \\ &= \frac{{}_4F_3\left[\begin{matrix} a, b, c, d; \\ e, f, g; \end{matrix} 1\right]}{\sin \pi a\, \Gamma(a)\Gamma(1+a-e)\Gamma(1+a-f)\Gamma(1+a-g)\Gamma(e)\Gamma(f)\Gamma(g)} \\ &+ \frac{{}_4F_3\left[\begin{matrix} a, 1+a-e, 1+a-f, 1+a-g; \\ 1+a-b, 1+a-c, 1+a-d; \end{matrix} 1\right]}{\sin \pi a\, \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)\Gamma(1+a-b)\Gamma(1+a-c)\Gamma(1+a-d)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sin \pi a\, \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1+a-e)\Gamma(1+a-f)\Gamma(1+a-g)} \\ &\times \left({}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix} a, b, c, d; \\ e, f, g; \end{matrix} 1\right] + {}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix} a, 1+a-e, 1+a-f, 1+a-g; \\ 1+a-b, 1+a-c, 1+a-d; \end{matrix} 1\right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&L(\vec{x})\\
&= \frac{{}_4F_3\left[\begin{matrix}a,b,c,d;\\ e,f,g;\end{matrix}1\right]}{\sin\pi e\,\Gamma(1+a-e)\Gamma(1+b-e)\Gamma(1+c-e)\Gamma(1+d-e)\Gamma(e)\Gamma(f)\Gamma(g)}\\
&- \frac{{}_4F_3\left[\begin{matrix}1+a-e,1+b-e,1+c-e,1+d-e;\\ 2-e,1+f-e,1+g-e;\end{matrix}1\right]}{\sin\pi e\,\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)\Gamma(2-e)\Gamma(1+f-e)\Gamma(1+g-e)}\\
&= \frac{1}{\left[\begin{matrix}\sin\pi e\,\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)\Gamma(1+a-e)\\ \times\Gamma(1+b-e)\Gamma(1+c-e)\Gamma(1+d-e)\end{matrix}\right]}\\
&\times\left({}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix}a,b,c,d;\\ e,f,g;\end{matrix}1\right] - {}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix}1+a-e,1+b-e,1+c-e,1+d-e;\\ 2-e,1+f-e,1+g-e;\end{matrix}1\right]\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix}a,b,c,d;\\ e,f,g;\end{matrix}1\right] &= \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)}{\Gamma(e)\Gamma(f)\Gamma(g)}{}_4F_3\left[\begin{matrix}a,b,c,d;\\ e,f,g;\end{matrix}1\right]\\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(k+a)\Gamma(k+b)\Gamma(k+c)\Gamma(k+d)}{k!\Gamma(k+e)\Gamma(k+f)\Gamma(k+g)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\vec{x} = (a,b,c,d,e,f,g)^T \in V$.

Remark 2.1. The function $K(\vec{x})$ defined above is, in fact, $1/(\sin\pi a\,\Gamma(a))$ times the function $K(\vec{x})$ considered in [9]. The renormalization provided here will allow for greater simplicity and uniformity of the three-term relations studied in Sections 5 and 6 below. Moreover, because all invariances (two-term relations) for K described in [9] preserve the first coordinate of $(a,b,c,d,e,f,g)^T$, such invariances continue to hold under our new normalization.

We will call the two Saalschützian ${}_4F_3(1)$ series in the definition of the K function *complementary* with respect to the parameter a, and will call the two Saalschützian ${}_4F_3(1)$ series in the definition of the L function *supplementary* with respect to the parameter e.

We note that, by [2, Eq. (7.5.3)], the L function can be expressed as a

very-well-poised ${}_7F_6(1)$ series:

$$\begin{aligned}
&L(a,b,c,d;e;f,g)\\
&\quad=\frac{\Gamma(1+A)}{\left[\begin{array}{c}\pi\Gamma(1+A-B)\Gamma(1+A-C)\Gamma(1+A-D)\\ \times\Gamma(1+A-E)\Gamma(1+A-F)\Gamma(2+2A-B-C-D-E-F)\end{array}\right]}\\
&\times{}_7F_6\left[\begin{array}{c}A,1+\frac{1}{2}A,B,C,D,E,F;\\ \frac{1}{2}A,1+A-B,1+A-C,1+A-D,1+A-E,1+A-F;\end{array}1\right],
\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A=d+g-e,\quad B=g-a,\quad C=g-b,\\
D=g-c,\quad E=d,\quad F=1+d-e,
\end{aligned}$$

and we require that $\mathrm{Re}(2+2A-B-C-D-E-F)=\mathrm{Re}(f-d)>0$. The above representation of the L function as a very-well-poised ${}_7F_6(1)$ series can also be written as

$$L(a,b,c,d;e;f,g)=\frac{\psi[A;B,C,D,E,F]}{\pi},$$

where ψ is the function defined in [33, Eqs. (2.1) and (2.11)]. Thus, the results concerning the L function can also be interpreted in terms of the very-well-poised ${}_7F_6(1)$ series given in (2.3). However, in the present paper, we primarily view the L function as a linear combination of two Saalschützian ${}_4F_3(1)$ series, because this representation connects the L function more directly to the K function, which is also defined as such a linear combination. We also note that the very-well-poised ${}_7F_6(1)$ series version of the L function converges only for appropriate values of the parameters, while the Saalschützian condition $e+f+g-a-b-c-d=1$ guarantees the convergence of both ${}_4F_3(1)$ series in the definition of the L (and the K) function.

3 Previously obtained results concerning the functions $K(\vec{x})$ and $L(\vec{x})$

In this section, we review the results obtained in [9] and [20] regarding the functions $K(\vec{x})$ and $L(\vec{x})$, respectively.

Consider the matrix group $GL(7,\mathbb{C})$, acting on $\mathbb{C}^7$ from the left. If $\sigma \in S_7$, we will identify σ with the element of $GL(7,\mathbb{C})$ that permutes the standard basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_7\}$ of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^7$ according to the permutation σ. For example,

$$(123) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will also let $A \in GL(7,\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

so that, if $\vec{x} = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g)^T \in V$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{x} &= (a, b, g-c, g-d, e+g-c-d, f+g-c-d, g)^T \\ &= (a, b, g-c, g-d, 1+a+b-f, 1+a+b-e, g)^T \in V. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the subgroup M of $GL(7,\mathbb{C})$ defined by:

$$M = \langle (12), (23), (34), (56), (67), A \rangle.$$

It is shown in [9] and [20] that M is isomorphic to the Coxeter group $W(D_6)$ of order 23040.

The Coxeter group $W(D_6)$ is well-known to have a center consisting of two elements (see [15, pp. 82 and 132]). We denote by w_0 the unique nonidentity element in the center of M. The element w_0 is called the *central involution*, and is computed to be

$$w_0 = (12)(34)[[(1234)(567)]^2 A]^4.$$

We observe that, if $\vec{x} = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g)^T \in V$, then

$$w_0\vec{x} = (1-a, 1-b, 1-c, 1-d, 2-e, 2-f, 2-g)^T.$$

We next consider the following subgroups of M:

$$G_K = \langle (23), (34), (56), (67), A \rangle$$

and

$$G_L = \langle (12), (23), (34), (67), A \rangle.$$

Then:

(a) It is shown in [9] that G_K is an invariance group for $K(\vec{x})$; that is,

$$K(\vec{x}) = K(\alpha\vec{x}) \text{ for all } \alpha \in G_K,$$

and, furthermore, that G_K is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_6 of order 720. This gives 720 invariances, or two-term relations, for the function K.

(b) Similarly, it is shown in [20] that G_L is an invariance group for $L(\vec{x})$, meaning

$$L(\vec{x}) = L(\beta\vec{x}) \text{ for all } \beta \in G_L,$$

and, furthermore, that G_L is isomorphic to the Coxeter group $W(D_5)$ of order 1920. This gives 1920 invariances, or two-term relations, for the function L.

We can express the above invariances of $K(\vec{x})$ and $L(\vec{x})$ succinctly if we reparameterize the K and L functions as follows. Let

$$\widetilde{K}(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = K(A; B, C, D; E, F, G) \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\widetilde{L}(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = L(A, B, C, D; E; F, G), \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{2} + x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5,\ B = \frac{1}{2} + x_0 + x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_4 + x_5,$$
$$C = \frac{1}{2} + x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 - x_5,\ D = \frac{1}{2} + x_0 + x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 - x_5,$$
$$E = 1 + 2x_1 + 2x_2,\ F = 1 + 2x_0 + 2x_1,\ G = 1 + 2x_0 + 2x_2. \tag{3.4}$$

(This reparameterization of the L function is equivalent to the reparameterization given in [33, equation (3.1)].) Then the following is readily shown:

(a) The invariance of $K(\vec{x})$ under the group $G_K \cong S_6$ amounts to the equivalence of the 720 functions of the form

$$\{\widetilde{K}(x_{i_0}, x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, x_{i_4}, x_{i_5})\colon (i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5) \text{ is a permutation of } (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)\}.$$

(b) The invariance of $L(\vec{x})$ under the group $G_L \cong W(D_5)$ amounts to the equivalence of the 1920 functions

$$\{\widetilde{L}(x_0, \pm x_{i_1}, \pm x_{i_2}, \pm x_{i_3}, \pm x_{i_4}, \pm x_{i_5})\colon (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5) \text{ is a permutation of } (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \text{ and the number of negative signs is even}\}.$$

The three-term relations for $K(\vec{x})$ and $L(a, b, c, d; e; f, g)$ are governed by the right cosets of their invariance groups G_K and G_L, respectively, in M, as follows.

First we discuss the three-term relations for $K(\vec{x})$. The number of right cosets of G_K in M is $|M|/|G_K| = 23040/720 = 32$. In [9], these cosets are indexed in the following way: consider the transformation R of $\mathbb{C}^4$ defined by

$$R(x, y, z, t) = (y, z, t, x).$$

Denote the images of the vectors (a, b, c, d) and $(1, e, f, g)$ under the jth power transformation R^j by (a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j) and $(1_j, e_j, f_j, g_j)$ respectively. Also, for $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 15\}$, write $k = 4q + r$ with $0 \le q, r \le 3$. Then we denote by p_k the element of $G_K\backslash M$ that contains the transformation

$$\vec{x} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_r - 1_q, 1 + b_r - 1_q, 1 + c_r - 1_q, 1 + d_r - 1_q, \\ 1 + e_q - 1_q, 1 + f_q - 1_q, 1 + g_q - 1_q \end{pmatrix}^T \in V, \tag{3.5}$$

and by n_k the element of $G_K\backslash M$ that contains the transformation

$$\vec{x} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1_q - a_r, 1_q - b_r, 1_q - c_r, 1_q - d_r, \\ 1 + 1_q - e_q, 1 + 1_q - f_q, 1 + 1_q - g_q \end{pmatrix}^T \in V. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that, for each $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 15\}$, p_k and n_k are interchanged under the action of the central involution w_0.

Definition 3.1. We define the *Hamming distance* $d(\sigma, \tau)$ between elements $\sigma, \tau \in G_K \backslash M$ by

$$\begin{aligned} d(p_{4q+r}, p_{4s+t}) = d(n_{4q+r}, n_{4s+t}) &= 4 - 2(\delta_{q,s} + \delta_{r,t}), \\ d(p_{4q+r}, n_{4s+t}) = d(n_{4q+r}, p_{4s+t}) &= 2 + 2(\delta_{q,s} + \delta_{r,t}), \end{aligned}$$

for $q, r, s, t \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, where $\delta_{q,s}$ denotes the Kronecker delta.

Remark 3.2. The definition of Hamming distance given in [9] appears, *a priori*, quite different from the one given above, but, as may readily be checked, the two definitions are equivalent.

For example,

$$d(p_3, n_8) = 2 + 2(\delta_{0,2} + \delta_{3,0}) = 2.$$

Note also that, for any $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 15\}$, $d(p_k, n_k) = 6$.

It is shown in [9, Lemma 6.3] that the right coset space $G_K \backslash M$ is a metric space with respect to Hamming distance, and that the action of M on $G_K \backslash M$ by right multiplication is an isometry with respect to this distance.

Definition 3.3. Let $S(K^3)$ denote the set of three-element subsets of $G_K \backslash M$. The *Hamming type* of $\{\sigma, \tau, \mu\} \in S(K^3)$ is defined to be the three-digit integer abc, where a is the shortest among the Hamming distances $d(\sigma, \tau)$, $d(\sigma, \mu)$, and $d(\tau, \mu)$; b is the next shortest; and c is the longest.

Note that $|S(K^3)| = \binom{32}{3} = 4960$. It is shown in [9, Proposition 6.5] that each element of $S(K^3)$ has Hamming type $222, 224, 244, 246$, or 444, and that the action of M on $S(K^3)$ by right multiplication (elementwise) partitions $S(K^3)$ into five orbits, with each orbit consisting of all elements of a given Hamming type.

Write $K_\sigma(\vec{x})$ for $K(\alpha\vec{x})$ whenever $\alpha \in M$ belongs to the right coset $\sigma \in G_K \backslash M$. A three-term relation among the functions K_σ, K_τ, and K_μ is called an *abc relation* if $\{\sigma, \tau, \mu\}$ is of Hamming type abc. Explicit three-term relations for each of the Hamming types $222, 224, 244, 246$, and 444 are obtained in Propositions 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.7, respectively, of [9]. Applying the action of M to the K functions and the coefficients of these five relations, we thereby obtain 4960 three-term relations, partitioned by Hamming type into five families.

Remark 3.4. Let $\widetilde{K}$ be as defined in equations (3.2) and (3.4) above. Then the set of 23040 K functions that relate to each other via the three-term relations just described is equal to the set

$$\{\widetilde{K}(\pm x_{i_0}, \pm x_{i_1}, \pm x_{i_2}, \pm x_{i_3}, \pm x_{i_4}, \pm x_{i_5})\colon (i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5) \text{ is a permutation of } (0,1,2,3,4,5) \text{ and the number of negative signs is even}\}.$$

Moreover, the set

$$\{\widetilde{K}(\pm x_0, \pm x_1, \pm x_2, \pm x_3, \pm x_4, \pm x_5)\colon \text{the number of negative signs is even}\}$$

equals the set

$$\{K_\sigma(\vec{x})\colon \sigma \in G_K \backslash M\}.$$

We turn next to a discussion of three-term relations for $L(\vec{x})$. The number of right cosets of G_L in M is $|M|/|G_L| = 23040/1920 = 12$. The 12 right cosets of G_L in M are labeled in [20] by $1, 2, \ldots, 6, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{6}$, where, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 6\}$, i and $\overline{i}$ are interchanged under the action of the central involution w_0. In particular, the corresponding L functions are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_6(\vec{x}) &= L\,(a,b,c,d;e;f,g)\,,\\
L_5(\vec{x}) &= L\,(a,b,c,d;f;e,g)\,,\\
L_4(\vec{x}) &= L\,(a,b,c,d;g;f,e)\,,\\
L_3(\vec{x}) &= L\,(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g;1+a-b;1+a-c,1+a-d)\,,\\
L_2(\vec{x}) &= L\,(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g;1+a-c;1+a-b,1+a-d)\,,\\
L_1(\vec{x}) &= L\,(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g;1+a-d;1+a-c,1+a-b)\,,\\
L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x}) &= L\,(1-a,1-b,1-c,1-d;2-e;2-f,2-g)\,,\\
L_{\overline{5}}(\vec{x}) &= L\,(1-a,1-b,1-c,1-d;2-f;2-e,2-g)\,,\\
L_{\overline{4}}(\vec{x}) &= L\,(1-a,1-b,1-c,1-d;2-g;2-f,2-e)\,,\\
L_{\overline{3}}(\vec{x}) &= L\,(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a;1+b-a;1+c-a,1+d-a)\,,\\
L_{\overline{2}}(\vec{x}) &= L\,(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a;1+c-a;1+b-a,1+d-a)\,,\\
L_{\overline{1}}(\vec{x}) &= L\,(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a;1+d-a;1+c-a,1+b-a)\,. \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

We have

Definition 3.5. A subset of $G_L\backslash M$ is is called *L-coherent* if no two elements of this subset are interchanged by the action of the central involution w_0. A subset of $G_L\backslash M$ that is not L-coherent is called *L-incoherent*.

We note that a subset of $G_L\backslash M$ is L-coherent if and only if it does not contain both elements of the form i and $\overline{i}$, for any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 6\}$.

The group M acts by right multiplication (elementwise) on the set $S(L^3)$ of three-element subsets of $G_L\backslash M$. There are $\binom{12}{3} = 220$ such subsets. It is shown in [20, Proposition 6.6] that this group action partitions $S(L^3)$ into two orbits—an orbit of length 160, consisting of the L-coherent elements of $S(L^3)$, and an orbit of length 60, consisting of the L-incoherent elements.

A three-term relation among the functions $L_i(\vec{x}), L_j(\vec{x})$, and $L_k(\vec{x})$, for $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 6, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{6}\}$, is said to be *$L$-coherent* if $\{i, j, k\}$ is an L-coherent set, and *L-incoherent* otherwise. Explicit examples of L-coherent and L-incoherent relations are given in Propositions 7.2 and 7.4, respectively, of [20]. Applying the action of M to the L functions and the coefficients of these two relations, we thereby obtain 220 three-term relations, partitioned by coherence into two families.

Remark 3.6. Let $\widetilde{L}$ be as defined in equations (3.3) and (3.4) above. Then the set of 23040 L functions that relate to each other via the three-term relations just described is equal to the set

$$\{\widetilde{L}(\pm x_{i_0}, \pm x_{i_1}, \pm x_{i_2}, \pm x_{i_3}, \pm x_{i_4}, \pm x_{i_5})\colon (i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5) \text{ is a permutation of } (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \text{ and the number of negative signs is even}\}.$$

Moreover, the set

$$\{\widetilde{L}(\pm x_i, x_{j(i)}, x_{k(i)}, x_{\ell(i)}, x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)})\colon 0 \le i \le 5\},$$

where, for a given $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $j(i), k(i), \ell(i), m(i)$, and $n(i)$ denote the distinct elements of $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\backslash\{i\}$ (in some fixed order), equals the set

$$\{L_\sigma(\vec{x})\colon \sigma \in G_L\backslash M\}.$$

4 Coxeter group actions and orbits

In this section, we describe the group-theoretic structure behind the (K, L, L) and (L, K, K) relations. To this end, we study the orbits of the action by right multiplication (elementwise) of the group M on the sets

$$S(K, L^2) = G_K \backslash M \times S(L^2) \text{ and } S(L, K^2) = G_L \backslash M \times S(K^2).$$

(Here, $S(L^2)$ denotes the set of two-element subsets of $G_L \backslash M$, and similarly for $S(K^2)$.) All group actions in this section are assumed to be right group actions.

The Dynkin diagram of the Coxeter group $W(D_n)$ is given by the graph with vertices labeled $1', 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, where $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ are connected by an edge if and only if $|i - j| = 1$, and $1'$ is connected to 2 only. The presentation of $W(D_n)$ is given by

$$W(D_n) = \langle s_{1'}, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{n-1} : (s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1 \rangle,$$

where $m_{ii} = 1$ for all i; and for i and j distinct, $m_{ij} = 3$ if i and j are connected by an edge, and $m_{ij} = 2$ otherwise. It is well-known that the order of $W(D_n)$ is $2^{n-1}n!$ (see [15, Section 2.11]).

The Coxeter generators $s_i, i \in \{1', 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, of the group M, which is isomorphic to $W(D_6)$, are shown in [20] to be

$$s_1 = (34), s_2 = (23), s_3 = (34)A, s_4 = (67), s_5 = (56), s_{1'} = (12),$$

where A is the matrix given in (3.1).

The invariance groups G_K and G_L for the functions $K(\vec{x})$ and $L(\vec{x})$, respectively, are given by

$$G_K = \langle s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5 \rangle \cong S_6$$

and

$$G_L = \langle s_{1'}, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 \rangle \cong W(D_5).$$

Consider the action of M on $G_L \backslash M = \{1, \ldots, 6, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{6}\}$. If $t \in M$, then t induces a permutation on the twelve-element set $\{1, \ldots, 6, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{6}\}$. Let $\Phi : M \to S_{12}$ be the induced permutation representation. For every $t \in M$,

the permutation $\Phi(t)$ can be uniquely described by specifying its effect on the set $\{1,\ldots,6\}$, since the elements in $\{\overline{1},\ldots,\overline{6}\}$ will be permuted based on where $\{1,\ldots,6\}$ are permuted with the addition or omission of a bar. The proposition given below is the result in Proposition 6.3 from [20]:

Proposition 4.1. *The images of the generators s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, and $s_{1'}$ of M under the permutation representation $\Phi : M \to S_{12}$ are given by*

$$\Phi(s_1) = \Phi((34)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Phi(s_2) = \Phi((23)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Phi(s_3) = \Phi((34)A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Phi(s_4) = \Phi((67)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 6 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Phi(s_5) = \Phi((56)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 5 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Phi(s_{1'}) = \Phi((12)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \overline{2} & \overline{1} & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A further description of the permutation representation $\Phi : M \to S_{12}$ is given in the proposition below, which is the result in Proposition 6.4 of [20]:

Proposition 4.2. *The permutation representation $\Phi : M \to S_{12}$ is faithful, i.e. $ker(\Phi) = \{I_7\}$. The embedding of M into S_{12} consists of all the permutations of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ j_1 & j_2 & j_3 & j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \end{pmatrix}$, where each j_i belongs to the set $\{1,\ldots,6,\overline{1},\ldots,\overline{6}\}$, the j_i's are all distinct if we remove the bars, and an even number, i.e. $0, 2, 4$, or 6 of the j_i's contain a bar.*

The two main results concerning the orbits of the action by right multiplication of the group M on the sets $S(K, L^2)$ and $S(L, K^2)$ will be given in Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, respectively. Before we state and prove those propositions, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a finite group acting on the finite sets A and B. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ be a complete set of orbit representatives of G acting on A.*

Let $G_{\alpha_1}, G_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, G_{\alpha_n}$ be the corresponding stabilizers of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ in G. For each i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\{\beta_{i,1}, \beta_{i,2}, \ldots, \beta_{i,m_i}\}$ be a complete set of orbit representatives of G_{α_i} acting on B. Then there are $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i$ orbits of the action of G on $A \times B$ and a complete set of orbit representatives is given by $I = \cup_{i=1}^{n} \cup_{j=1}^{m_i} \{(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})\}$. Furthermore, for any $(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j}) \in I$, the length of the orbit containing $(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})$ under the action of G on $A \times B$ is equal to the length of the orbit containing α_i under the action of G on A multiplied by the length of the orbit containing $\beta_{i,j}$ under the action of G_{α_i} on B.

Proof. Take any $(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B$. Then there exist $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $g \in G$ such that $\alpha g = \alpha_i$. Furthermore, there exist $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m_i\}$ and $h \in G_{\alpha_i}$ such that $(\beta g)h = \beta_{i,j}$. Hence $(\alpha, \beta)gh = (\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})$ and so any element of $A \times B$ is in an orbit whose representative is an element of I.

Next suppose that for some $(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j}), (\alpha_s, \beta_{s,t}) \in I$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})g = (\alpha_s, \beta_{s,t})$. Then $\alpha_i g = \alpha_s$ and, since $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are representatives of different orbits of G acting on A, we must have $\alpha_i = \alpha_s$ and $g \in G_{\alpha_i}$. Hence, since $\beta_{i,j} g = \beta_{s,t}$, we have $\beta_{i,j} g = \beta_{i,t}$. But $\beta_{i,1}, \beta_{i,2}, \ldots, \beta_{i,m_i}$ are representatives of different orbits of G_{α_i} acting on B, so we must have $\beta_{i,j} = \beta_{i,t}$. Therefore $(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j}) = (\alpha_s, \beta_{s,t})$, which shows that every two elements of I are representatives of different orbits. We conclude that I is a complete set of orbit representatives as claimed. From this it also follows that there are $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i$ orbits of the action of G on $A \times B$.

Finally, take any $(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j}) \in I$. Let $G_{(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})}$ be the stabilizer of $(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})$ in G. By the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem, the length of the orbit containing $(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})$ under the action of G on $A \times B$ is equal to the index of $G_{(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})}$ in G. Now since $G_{(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})} \leq G_{\alpha_i} \leq G$, the index of $G_{(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})}$ in G is equal to the index of G_{α_i} in G multiplied by the index of $G_{(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})}$ in G_{α_i}. The index of G_{α_i} in G is equal to the length of the orbit containing α_i under the action of G on A. Furthermore, $G_{(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})}$ is also the stabilizer in G_{α_i} of $\beta_{i,j}$ under the action of G_{α_i} on B, and hence the index of $G_{(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j})}$ in G_{α_i} is equal to the length of the orbit containing $\beta_{i,j}$ under the action of G_{α_i} on B. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proposition 4.4. *There are four orbits of the action of M on $S(K, L^2)$. Representatives and lengths of the orbits are given by*

Orbit 1: Representative $(p_0, \{6, 5\})$, length= 480,

Orbit 2: Representative $(p_0, \{\overline{6}, 5\})$, length= 960,

Orbit 3*: Representative* $(p_0, \{6, \overline{6}\})$*, length*$= 192$*, and*

Orbit 4*: Representative* $(p_0, \{\overline{1}, \overline{2}\})$*, length*$= 480$*.*

Proof. The group M acts transitively on the 32-element set $G_K \backslash M$. The stabilizer M_{p_0} of the element $p_0 \in G_K \backslash M$ is generated by s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5 and is isomorphic to S_6. By Lemma 4.3, we need to consider the action of M_{p_0} on $S(L^2)$. Since no orbit of this action contains both an L-coherent element of $S(L^2)$ and an L-incoherent element, and since, by Proposition 4.1, every element of M_{p_0} simply permutes the elements of $\{1, \ldots, 6\}$, there are four orbits of the action of M_{p_0} on $S(L^2)$, and they are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\{i, j\} : i, j \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}, i \neq j\},\\
&\{\{i, j\} : i \in \{\overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{6}\}, j \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}, \overline{j} \neq i\},\\
&\{\{i, \overline{i}\} : i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}\}, \text{ and}\\
&\{\{i, j\} : i, j \in \{\overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{6}\}, i \neq j\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Representatives for the above orbits are $\{6, 5\}, \{\overline{6}, 5\}, \{6, \overline{6}\}$, and $\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}\}$, respectively. A simple counting argument shows that the lengths of those orbits are $(6 \times 5)/2 = 15, 6 \times 5 = 30, 6$, and $(6 \times 5)/2 = 15$, respectively.

Further, by Lemma 4.3, there are four orbits of the action of M on $S(K, L^2)$, with representatives being $(p_0, \{6, 5\}), (p_0, \{\overline{6}, 5\}), (p_0, \{6, \overline{6}\})$, and $(p_0, \{\overline{1}, \overline{2}\})$. The lengths of the orbits are $32 \times 15 = 480, 32 \times 30 = 960, 32 \times 6 = 192$, and $32 \times 15 = 480$, respectively. □

Proposition 4.5. *There are seven orbits of the action of* M *on* $S(L, K^2)$*. Representatives and lengths of the orbits are given by*

Orbit 1*: Representative* $(3, \{p_0, p_1\})$*, length*$= 960$*,*

Orbit 2*: Representative* $(\overline{6}, \{p_0, p_1\})$*, length*$= 960$*,*

Orbit 3*: Representative* $(\overline{1}, \{p_0, p_1\})$*, length*$= 960$*,*

Orbit 4*: Representative* $(4, \{p_0, n_4\})$*, length*$= 480$*,*

Orbit 5*: Representative* $(\overline{4}, \{p_0, n_4\})$*, length*$= 480$*,*

Orbit 6*: Representative* $(\overline{6}, \{p_0, n_4\})$*, length*$= 1920$*, and*

Orbit 7*: Representative* $(6, \{p_0, n_0\})$*, length*$= 192$*.*

Proof. By the results in [9], there are three orbits of the action of M on $S(K^2)$. Each of these orbits consists of all $\{\sigma, \tau\} \subset S(K^2)$ such that the Hamming distance $d(\sigma, \tau)$ equals $2, 4$, or 6. Representatives of these three orbits are $\{p_0, p_1\}, \{p_0, n_4\}$, and $\{p_0, n_0\}$, respectively. The lengths of the three orbits are $(32 \times \binom{6}{2})/2 = 240, (32 \times \binom{6}{4})/22 = 240$, and 16, respectively.

The stabilizer $M_{\{p_0,p_1\}}$ in M of $\{p_0, p_1\}$ is generated by $s_{1'}, s_1, s_3, s_4, s_5$ and is isomorphic to $S_4 \times S_2 \times S_2$. There are three orbits of the action of $M_{\{p_0,p_1\}}$ on $G_L\backslash M$,and the three orbits are given by $\{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{\overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{5}, \overline{6}\}, \{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}\}$.

The stabilizer $M_{\{p_0,n_4\}}$ in M of $\{p_0, n_4\}$ is generated by $s_1, s_2, s_4, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$, where

$$\lambda_1 = s_4 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_4,$$
$$\lambda_2 = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_{1'} s_2 s_1 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_{1'} s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_4.$$

If $\vec{x} = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g)^T \in V$, then the actions of λ_1 and λ_2 on $\vec{x}$ are given by

$$\lambda_1 \vec{x} = (a, b, e - d, e - c, e, 1 + a + b - g, 1 + a + b - f)^T$$

and

$$\lambda_2 \vec{x} = (e - a, e - b, e - c, e - d, e, 1 + e - f, 1 + e - g)^T.$$

The actions of λ_1 and λ_2 on $G_L\backslash M$ are given by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 6 & 4 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \overline{1} & \overline{2} & \overline{3} & 5 & 4 & \overline{6} \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. The group $M_{\{p_0,n_4\}}$ is isomorphic to $S_4 \times S_2 \times S_2$. There are three orbits of the action of $M_{\{p_0,n_4\}}$ on $G_L\backslash M$, and the three orbits are given by $\{4, 5\}, \{\overline{4}, \overline{5}\}, \{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}, 3, \overline{3}, 6, \overline{6}\}$.

The stabilizer $M_{\{p_0,n_0\}}$ in M of $\{p_0, n_0\}$ is generated by $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, w_0$, where w_0 is the central involution of M. The group $M_{\{p_0,n_0\}}$ is isomorphic to $S_6 \times S_2$. The action of $M_{\{p_0,n_0\}}$ on $G_L\backslash M$ is transitive and thus we have only one orbit.

An application of Lemma 4.3 now leads to the result in Proposition 4.5. □

5 The eighteen three-term relations

In this section and the next, we present our main results concerning three-term relations among the functions $K(\vec{x})$ and $L(\vec{x})$.

To this end, we make several definitions. The first of these describes certain quantities in terms of which all coefficients in all three-term relations will ultimately be expressed.

Definition 5.1. (a) For $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we write

$$S(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = \sin \pi a_1 \sin \pi a_2 \cdots \sin \pi a_n.$$

(b) For $\vec{x} \in V$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\vec{x}) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)} \quad \text{(also often denoted } A(a,b,c,d)\text{)};\\
B_1(\vec{x}) &= \pi^{-4} S(b,c)\big[S(b-a,c-a,d) + S(e-a,f-a,g-a)\big];\\
B_2(\vec{x}) &= \pi^{-4} S(f-a,g-a)\\
&\quad \times \big[S(b-a,c-a,d-a) + S(e-2a,f-a,g-a)\big];\\
B_3(\vec{x}) &= \frac{1}{\pi^4 S(f-g)} \begin{bmatrix} S(f,f-e,g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d) \\ -S(g,g-e,f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d) \end{bmatrix};\\
B_4(\vec{x}) &= \pi^{-4} S(f-a,g-a)\big[S(b,c,d) + S(e-a,f,g)\big];\\
B_5(\vec{x}) &= \pi^{-4} S(b,e-b)\big[S(b-a,c-a,d-a) + S(e-2a,f-a,g-a)\big];\\
C(\vec{x}) &= \frac{S(e-a,f-a,g-a)}{\pi^8} \Bigg[S(b,c,d) \begin{pmatrix} S(b-a,c-a,d-a) \\ +S(e-2a,f-a,g-a) \end{pmatrix}\\
&\quad + S(e,f-a,g-a) \begin{pmatrix} S(b,c,d) \\ +S(e-a,f,g) \end{pmatrix} \Bigg]\\
&= \pi^{-4} S(e-a)\big[S(b,c,d) B_2(\vec{x}) + S(e,f-a,g-a) B_4(\vec{x})\big].
\end{aligned}$$

(c) We definite the *length* of any product of the above functions S, A, B_k ($k \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}$), and C to be the number of gamma functions appearing in the denominator of each summand of that product. Here, we agree that any sine function in the numerator increases the length by two (since $\sin \pi a = \pi/(\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a))$, and that any sine function in the denominator decreases the length by two.

(d) We define the *width* of any product of the above functions S, A, B_k ($k \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}$), and C to be the number of summands comprising that product.

In particular, $S(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ has length $2n$ and width 1, $A(\vec{x})$ has length 4 and width 1, each $B_k(\vec{x})$ ($k \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}$) has length 10 and width 2, and $C(\vec{x})$ has length 18 and width 4.

Note also that, while $B_3(\vec{x})$ (alone among the above coefficients) has a sine function in its denominator, the resulting singularities in $B_3(\vec{x})$ are removable (since the zeros of $\sin \pi(f-g)$ are also zeros of the numerator of $B_3(\vec{x})$).

Let us now define

$$T = (G_L \backslash M) \cup (G_K \backslash M).$$

(Note that $|T| = 12 + 32 = 44$.) We extend the notions of Hamming distance and Hamming type on $G_K \backslash M$, cf. Definitions 3.1 and 3.3 above, to obtain notions of distance and type on T, as follows.

Definition 5.2. (a) If $\sigma, \tau \in T$, then the *distance* $d(\sigma, \tau)$ between σ and τ is defined to be

(i) 0 if $\sigma = \tau$.

(ii) 2 if: $\sigma, \tau \in G_K \backslash M$ and the Hamming distance between σ and τ is 2, or (in the case where either σ or τ belongs to $G_L \backslash M$) σ and τ are not opposite. Here, two cosets in T are said to be *opposite* if some element of the former coset equals the central involution times some element of the latter. Otherwise, they are not opposite.

(iii) 4 if: $\sigma, \tau \in G_K \backslash M$ and the Hamming distance between σ and τ is 4, or (in the case where either σ or τ belongs to $G_L \backslash M$) σ and τ are opposite.

(iv) 6 if $\sigma, \tau \in G_K \backslash M$ and the Hamming distance between σ and τ is 6.

(b) By the *type* of a three-element subset $\{\sigma, \tau, \mu\}$ of T, we mean the symbol abc, where a, b, and c are the integers $d(\sigma, \tau)$, $d(\sigma, \mu)$, and $d(\tau, \mu)$, written in weakly increasing order.

For example, consider the set $\{p_0, \overline{1}, \overline{2}\}$, and refer to the characterizations of $G_K\backslash M$ and $G_L\backslash M$ given in Section 3 (see (3.5), (3.6), and (3.7)) above. On the one hand, $\overline{1}$ and $\overline{2}$ are not opposite because, as follows from Definition 3.5 above, $i, j \in G_L\backslash M$ are opposite if and only if $\{i, j\} = \{k, \overline{k}\}$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. On the other hand, p_0 is opposite $\overline{1}$, because the transformation $\rho \in \overline{1}$ defined by

$$\rho\vec{x} = (1-a, e-a, f-a, g-a, 1+d-a, 1+c-a, 1+b-a)^T$$

equals $w_0\nu$, where $\nu \in p_0$ is defined by

$$\nu\vec{x} = (a, 1+a-e, 1+a-f, 1+a-g, 1+a-d, 1+a-c, 1+a-b)^T.$$

(It is clear from Definition 2.1 that $K(\vec{x})$ is invariant under ν.) Similarly, p_0 is opposite $\overline{2}$. So $\{p_0, \overline{1}, \overline{2}\}$ has type 244.

Remark 5.3. $d(\sigma, \tau)$ defines a metric on T. Indeed, the only way integers $a, b, c \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ can fail to satisfy $a \leq b + c$ is when $a = 6$ and $b = c = 2$. But it follows from Definition 5.2, and from Proposition 6.5(iv) of [9], that a set $\{\sigma, \tau, \mu\} \subset T$ must have type $222, 224, 244, 246$, or 444.

It is readily checked that $d(\sigma, \tau)$ is invariant under the action of the Coxeter group M: $d(\sigma g, \tau g) = d(\sigma, \tau)$ for all $g \in M$ and $\sigma, \tau \in T$.

Let us now denote by J_σ , for $\sigma \in T$, the K or L function associated with σ—that is, $J_\sigma(\vec{x}) = L_\sigma(\vec{x})$ if $\sigma \in G_L\backslash M$, and $J_\sigma(\vec{x}) = K_\sigma(\vec{x})$ if $\sigma \in G_K\backslash M$. Let us also write

$$T^{(3)} = \{\text{three-element subsets } \mathcal{S} \subset T\}.$$

We have the following main theorem.

Theorem 5.4. (a) *The action of M by right multiplication (elementwise) on $T^{(3)}$ partitions this set into eighteen orbits. More specifically, there are:*

(i) *two orbits each of whose elements $\mathcal{S}$ is a set containing three elements of $G_L\backslash M$;*

(ii) *four orbits each of whose elements $\mathcal{S}$ is a set containing one element of $G_K\backslash M$ and two elements of $G_L\backslash M$;*

(iii) *seven orbits each of whose elements $\mathcal{S}$ is a set containing one element of $G_L\backslash M$ and two elements of $G_K\backslash M$;*

(iv) *five orbits each of whose elements $\mathcal{S}$ is a set containing three elements of $G_K\backslash M$.*

(b) *Let $\{\sigma,\tau,\mu\}\in T^{(3)}$. Then there is a relation of the form*

$$\gamma_1 J_\sigma(\vec{x})+\gamma_2 J_\tau(\vec{x})+\gamma_3 J_\mu(\vec{x})=0, \tag{5.1}$$

where each of the coefficients $\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3$ is a product of:

(i) *at most one function of the form $S(x)$, where x is a coordinate of $g\vec{x}$ for some $g\in M$, and*

(ii) *at most four functions of the form $A(g\vec{x})$ ($g\in M$), and*

(iii) *at most one function of the form $B_k(g\vec{x})$ ($k=1,2,3,4,$ or 5) or $C(g\vec{x})$ ($g\in M$).*

(c) *If $\{\sigma,\tau,\mu\}$ and $\{\sigma',\tau',\mu'\}$ are in the same orbit under the action of M on $T^{(3)}$ described above, then a three-term relation among J_σ, J_τ, and J_μ can be transformed into one among $J_{\sigma'}$, $J_{\tau'}$, and $J_{\mu'}$ by the application of a single change of variable*

$$\vec{x}\mapsto\rho\vec{x}\qquad\qquad(\rho\in M)$$

to all elements (including the coefficients) of the first relation.

(d) *For any $\ell\in\{1,2,3\}$, let $\{j,k\}=\{1,2,3\}\setminus\{\ell\}$. Then, in a relation (5.1), each coefficient γ_ℓ has width $2^{d(\mu_j,\mu_k)/2-1}$.*

(e) *In any three-term relation (5.1), all coefficients have length*

$$2(a+b+c)-6,$$

where abc is the type of $\{\sigma,\tau,\mu\}$.

Part (a) of the above theorem has already been demonstrated—see Sections 3 and 4 above. To prove the other parts of the theorem, it will suffice to produce, for each of the eighteen orbits described above, a relation (5.1) for one particular representative $\{\sigma,\tau,\mu\}$ of that orbit, such that each of the

eighteen relations produced satisfies the conclusions of parts (d) and (e) of the theorem.

We will present the required relations in Propositions 6.1–6.18 below. For the proofs of these, we will first need the following trigonometric identities.

Lemma 5.5. (a) *For $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$S(p-q, r-s) - S(p-r, q-s) = -S(q-r, p-s).$$

(b) *For $(a, b, c, d, e, f, g)^T \in V$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &S(g-a, f-b, f-c, f-d) - S(f-a, g-b, g-c, g-d) \\ &= S(f-g)\big[S(b-a, c-a, d-a) + S(e-2a, f-a, g-a)\big]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Part (a) may be proved directly, by expanding

$$S(p-q, r-s) - S(p-r, q-s) + S(q-r, p-s)$$

into sines and cosines of p, q, r, and s alone, and observing that the resulting summands cancel pairwise.

To prove part (b) we note that, by part (a),

$$\begin{aligned} &S(b-a, c-a, d-a) + S(e-2a, f-a, g-a) \\ &= S(b-a)\big[S(g-c, g-d) - S(g-a, g+a-c-d)\big] \\ &+ S(g-a)\big[S(a+b-e, b-f) - S(b-a, c+d-a-g)\big] \\ &= S(b-a, g-c, g-d) + S(a+b-e, b-f, g-a), \end{aligned}$$

so again by part (a),

$$\begin{aligned} &S(g-a, f-b, f-c, f-d) - S(f-a, g-b, g-c, g-d) \\ &- S(f-g)\big[S(b-a, c-a, d-a) + S(e-2a, f-a, g-a)\big] \\ &= S(g-a, f-b)\big[S(f-c, f-d) + S(f-g, a+b-e)\big] \\ &- S(g-c, g-d)\big[S(f-a, g-b) + S(f-g, b-a)\big]\big] \\ &= S(g-a, f-b)S(g-c, g-d) - S(g-c, g-d)S(f-b, g-a) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

6 Explicit statement and derivation of the three-term relations

We now proceed with the derivations of our relations.

6.1 Type 222 relations

There are four relations of Type 222: the Orbit 1 (L, K, K) relation, the Orbit 1 (K, L, L) relation, the Hamming type 222 (K, K, K) relation, and the L-coherent (L, L, L) relation. In any such relation, the coefficient of any K or L function has length $2(2 + 2 + 2) - 6 = 6$.

Proposition 6.1. *We have the Orbit* 1 (L, K, K) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned} &S(a)A(b, 1 + b - e, 1 + b - f, 1 + b - g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x}) \\ -\,&S(b)A(a, 1 + a - e, 1 + a - f, 1 + a - g)K_{p_1}(\vec{x}) \\ -\,&S(b - a)A(a, b, c, d)L_3(\vec{x}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. By the definitions of $K_{p_0}(\vec{x})$ and $K_{p_1}(\vec{x})$, the left hand side of (6.1)

equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{S(a)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(1+b-e)\Gamma(1+b-f)\Gamma(1+b-g)} \\
&\times \frac{1}{S(a)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1+a-e)\Gamma(1+a-f)\Gamma(1+a-g)} \\
&\times \left({}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix} a,b,c,d; \\ e,f,g; \end{matrix} 1\right] + {}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix} a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g; \\ 1+a-b,1+a-c,1+a-d; \end{matrix} 1\right]\right) \\
&- \frac{S(b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1+a-e)\Gamma(1+a-f)\Gamma(1+a-g)} \\
&\times \frac{1}{S(b)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(1+b-e)\Gamma(1+b-f)\Gamma(1+b-g)} \\
&\times \left({}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix} b,a,c,d; \\ e,f,g; \end{matrix} 1\right] + {}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix} b,1+b-e,1+b-f,1+b-g; \\ 1+b-a,1+b-c,1+b-d; \end{matrix} 1\right]\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\left[\begin{matrix} \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1+a-e)\Gamma(1+a-f) \\ \times\Gamma(1+a-g)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(1+b-e)\Gamma(1+b-f)\Gamma(1+b-g) \end{matrix}\right]} \\
&\times \left({}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix} a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g; \\ 1+a-b,1+a-c,1+a-d; \end{matrix} 1\right]\right. \\
&\left. - {}_4F_3^*\left[\begin{matrix} b,1+b-e,1+b-f,1+b-g; \\ 1+b-a,1+b-c,1+b-d; \end{matrix} 1\right]\right) \\
&= S(b-a)A(a,b,c,d)L_3(\vec{x}).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Proposition 6.2. *We have the Orbit* 1 (K, L, L) *relation*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S(f-e)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)K_{p0}(\vec{x}) \\
&+ S(f-a)A(e-a,e-b,e-c,e-d)L_6(\vec{x}) \\
&- S(e-a)A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)L_5(\vec{x}) = 0. \qquad (6.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider the transformations

$$\vec{x} \to (a, b, e-c, e-d; e, 1+a+b-f, 1+a+b-g)^T$$

and

$$\vec{x} \to (a, b, f-c, f-d; f, 1+a+b-e, 1+a+b-g)^T.$$

Application of the first of these to our Orbit 1 (L,K,K) relation (6.1) yields a relation among $K_{p_0}(\vec{x})$, $K_{p_1}(\vec{x})$, and $L_6(\vec{x})$, while application of the second to (6.1) yields a relation among $K_{p_0}(\vec{x})$, $K_{p_1}(\vec{x})$, and $L_5(\vec{x})$. Eliminating $K_{p_1}(\vec{x})$ from this pair of newly formed relations, and applying the identity $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \pi/\sin \pi s$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)\big[S(f-a,e-b)-S(e-a,f-b)\big]K_{p_0}(\vec{x}) \\ &-S(f-a,b-a)A(e-a,e-b,e-c,e-d)L_6(\vec{x}) \\ &+S(e-a,b-a)A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)L_5(\vec{x}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

But Lemma(5.5)(a) tells us that

$$S(f-a,e-b)-S(e-a,f-b) = S(a-b,f-e);$$

dividing (6.3) through by $S(a-b)$ therefore yields (6.2). □

Proposition 6.3. *We have the Hamming type* 222 (K,K,K) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned} &S(c-b)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)K_{p_0}(\vec{x}) \\ &+S(a-c)A(1-b,e-b,f-b,g-b)K_{p_1}(\vec{x}) \\ &+S(b-a)A(1-c,e-c,f-c,g-c)K_{p_2}(\vec{x}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. This is Proposition 7.3 in [9] (under the renormalization of $K(\vec{x})$ described in Section 2—cf. Remark 2.1—above). □

Proposition 6.4. *We have the L-coherent* (L,L,L) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned} &S(f-g)A(e-a,e-b,e-c,e-d)L_6(\vec{x}) \\ &+S(g-e)A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)L_5(\vec{x}) \\ &+S(e-f)A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)L_4(\vec{x}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. This is Proposition 7.2 in [20]. □

6.2 Type 224 relations

There are five relations of Type 224: the Orbits 3 and 4 (L,K,K) relations, the L-incoherent (L,L,L) relation, the Hamming type 224 (K,K,K) relation, and the Orbit 2 (K,L,L) relation. In any such relation, the coefficient of any K or L function has length $2(2+2+4)-6 = 10$.

Proposition 6.5. *We have the Orbit* 3 (L, K, K) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(c)A(1-a, e-a, f-a, g-a)A(b, 1+b-e, 1+b-f, 1+b-g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&- S(b-a)A(a, b, c, d)A(1-c, e-c, f-c, g-c)L_{\overline{1}}(\vec{x})\\
&- B_1(\vec{x})K_{p_1}(\vec{x}) = 0. \qquad (6.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying, to our Orbit 1 (K, L, L) relation (6.2), the change of variable

$$\vec{x} \to (b, 1+b-e, 1+b-f, 1+b-g, 1+b-a, 1+b-c, 1+b-d),$$

we obtain a relation among $K_{p_1}(\vec{x})$, $L_3(\vec{x})$, and $L_{\overline{1}}(\vec{x})$. We form a linear combination of this relation with our Orbit 1 (L, K, K) relation (6.1), to eliminate $L_3(\vec{x})$. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(a, c)A(1-a, e-a, f-a, g-a)\\
&\times A(b, 1+b-e, 1+b-f, 1+b-g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&- S(a, b-a)A(a, b, c, d)A(1-c, e-c, f-c, g-c)L_{\overline{1}}(\vec{x})\\
&+ \big[S(a-c, b-a)A(a, b, c, d)A(1-a, 1-b, 1-c, 1-d)\\
&- S(b, c)A(1-a, e-a, f-a, g-a)A(a, 1+a-e, 1+a-f, 1+a-g)\big]\\
&\times K_{p_1}(\vec{x}) = 0. \qquad (6.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \pi/\sin \pi s$, the quantity in square brackets, in (6.7), equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \pi^{-4}\big[S(a, b, c, d, b-a, c-a) - S(a, b, c, e-a, f-a, g-a)\big]\\
&= - S(a)B_1(\vec{x}),
\end{aligned}$$

so dividing (6.7) through by $S(a)$ yields (6.6). □

Proposition 6.6. *We have the Orbit* 4 (L, K, K) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(f-a)A(1-a, e-a, f-a, g-a)\\
&\times A(1+a-g, 1+b-g, 1+c-g, 1+d-g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&- S(g-a)A(f-a, f-b, f-c, f-d)\\
&\times A(a, 1+a-e, 1+a-f, 1+a-g)K_{n_4}(\vec{x})\\
&- B_2(\vec{x})L_4(\vec{x}) = 0. \qquad (6.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By applying, to our Orbit 1 (K, L, L) relation (6.2), the interchange of e and g and then, separately, the change of variable

$$\vec{x} \to (e-a, e-b, e-c, e-d, 1+e-f, 1+e-g, e)^T,$$

we obtain a relation among $K_{p_0}(\vec{x})$, $L_4(\vec{x})$, and $L_5(\vec{x})$, and another among $K_{n_4}(\vec{x})$, $L_4(\vec{x})$, and $L_5(\vec{x})$. From these latter two three-term relations, we form a linear combination to eliminate $L_5(\vec{x})$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(f-a, f-g)A(1-a, e-a, f-a, g-a)\\
&\times A(1+a-g, 1+b-g, 1+c-g, 1+d-g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&- S(g-a, f-g)A(f-a, f-b, f-c, f-d)\\
&\times A(1+a-e, 1+a-f, 1+a-g, a)K_{n_4}(\vec{x})\\
&-\big[S(g-a, g-a)A(f-a, f-b, f-c, f-d)\\
&\times A(1+a-f, 1+b-f, 1+c-f, 1+d-f)\\
&- S(f-a, f-a)A(g-a, g-b, g-c, g-d)\\
&\times A(1+a-g, 1+b-g, 1+c-g, 1+d-g)\big]L_4(\vec{x}) = 0. \qquad (6.9)
\end{aligned}$$

But, because $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \pi/\sin \pi s$, and by Lemma 5.5(b), the above term in square brackets equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi^{-4}S(f-a, g-a)\\
&\times [S(g-a, f-b, f-c, f-d) - S(f-a, g-b, g-c, g-d)]\\
&= \pi^{-4}S(f-a, g-a, f-g)[S(b-a, c-a, d-a) + S(e-2a, f-a, g-a)]\\
&= S(f-g)B_2(\vec{x}). \qquad (6.10)
\end{aligned}$$

So dividing (6.9) through by $S(f-g)$ yields (6.8). □

Proposition 6.7. *We have the L-incoherent (L, L, L) relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(g)A(1+a-f, 1+b-f, 1+c-f, 1+d-f)\\
&\times A(e-a, e-b, e-c, e-d)L_6(\vec{x})\\
&+ S(e-f)A(a, b, c, d)A(g-a, g-b, g-c, g-d)L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})\\
&- B_3(\vec{x})L_5(\vec{x}) = 0. \qquad (6.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying equation (7.7) in [20] through by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{S(e-f, g)}{S(f-g)}\\
&\times B(1+a-f, 1+b-f, 1+c-f, 1+d-f)B(g-a, g-b, g-c, g-d),
\end{aligned}$$

and applying the identity $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \pi/\sin \pi s$, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(g)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\\
&\times A(e-a,e-b,e-c,e-d)L_6(\vec{x})\\
&-\frac{1}{\pi^4 A(f-g)}\begin{bmatrix} A(g,g-e,f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\\ -A(f,e-f,g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\end{bmatrix}L_5(\vec{x})\\
&+S(e-f)A(a,b,c,d)A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})=0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is precisely (6.11). □

Proposition 6.8. *We have the Orbit* 2 (K,L,L) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(g)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\\
&\times A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&+S(f-a)A(a,b,c,d)A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})\\
&-B_4(\vec{x})L_5(\vec{x})=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.12}$$

Proof. We take a linear combination of the Orbit 1 (K,L,L) relation (6.2) with the L-incoherent (L,L,L) relation (6.11), to eliminate $L_6(\vec{x})$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(g,f-e)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\\
&\times A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&-S(f-a,e-f)A(a,b,c,d)A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})\\
&-\big[S(g,e-a)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\\
&\times A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\\
&-S(f-a)B_3(\vec{x})\big]L_5(\vec{x})=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.13}$$

We observe that the quantity in square brackets, in (6.13), equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\pi^4 S(f-g)}\begin{bmatrix} S(g,f-g,e-a,f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d) \\ -S(f,f-e,f-a,g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d) \\ +S(g,g-e,f-a,f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\end{bmatrix} \\
&=\frac{1}{\pi^4 S(f-g)}\begin{bmatrix} S(g,f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d) \\ \times\big[S(f-g,e-a)+S(g-e,f-a)\big] \\ -S(f,f-e,f-a,g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\end{bmatrix} \\
&=\frac{S(f-e,f-a,g-a)\big[S(g,f-b,f-c,f-d)-S(f,g-b,g-c,g-d)\big]}{\pi^4 S(f-g)} \\
&=\frac{S(f-e,f-a,g-a)\big[S(b,c,d)+S(e-a,f,g)\big]}{\pi^4}=S(f-e)B_4(\vec{x}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used parts (a) and (b) of Lemma (5.5). Dividing equation (6.13) through by $S(f-e)$ then yields (6.12). □

Proposition 6.9. *We have the Hamming type* 224 (K,K,K) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(e-a-b)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a) \\
&\times A(b,1+b-e,1+b-f,1+b-g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x}) \\
&+S(b-a)A(1+a-e,1+b-e,g-c,g-d) \\
&\times A(a,b,f-c,f-d)K_{n_4}(\vec{x}) \\
&-B_5(\vec{x})K_{p_1}(\vec{x})=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Proof. If we divide equation (7.4) in [9] through by $\pi^3\Gamma(b)$, and recall that the function $K(\vec{x})$ defined there is $\pi/\Gamma(1-a)$ times the function $K(\vec{x})$ appearing here, then we get (in terms of the present normalization of $K(\vec{x})$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(e-a-b)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a) \\
&\times A(b,1+b-e,1+b-f,1+b-g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x}) \\
&+\frac{S(b,e-b)}{\pi^4 S(c-b)}\begin{bmatrix} \sin\pi(a-c)\sin\pi(e-a-b)\sin\pi(f-b)\sin\pi(g-b) \\ -\sin\pi(a-b)\sin\pi(e-a-c)\sin\pi(f-c)\sin\pi(g-c)\end{bmatrix} \\
&\cdot K_{p_1}(\vec{x}) \\
&+S(b-a)A(1+a-e,1+b-e,g-c,g-d)A(a,b,f-c,f-d)K_{n_4}(\vec{x}) \\
&=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

But by Lemma 5.5(b),

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{S(b,e-b)}{\pi^4 S(c-b)}\begin{bmatrix}\sin\pi(a-c)\sin\pi(e-a-b)\sin\pi(f-b)\sin\pi(g-b)\\ -\sin\pi(a-b)\sin\pi(e-a-c)\sin\pi(f-c)\sin\pi(g-c)\end{bmatrix}\\ =&-\frac{S(b,e-b)}{\pi^4}\big[S(b-a,c-a,d-a)+S(e-2a,f-a,g-a)\big]=-B_5(\vec{x}),\end{aligned}$$

so (6.15) yields (6.14). □

6.3 Type 244 relations

There are five relations of Type 244: the Orbits 3 and 4 (K,L,L) relations, the Orbits 2 and 6 (L,K,K) relations, and the Hamming type 244 (K,K,K) relation. In any such relation, the coefficient of any K or L function has length $2(2+4+4)-6=14$.

Proposition 6.10. *We have the Orbit* 3 (K,L,L) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}&A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)B_3(\vec{x})K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\ &+S(e-a)A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\\ &\times A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)A(a,b,c,d)L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})\\ &-A(e-a,e-b,e-c,e-d)B_4(\vec{x})L_6(\vec{x})=0.\end{aligned}\tag{6.16}$$

Proof. We form a linear combination of our Orbit 1 (K,L,L) relation (6.2) and our L-incoherent (L,L,L) relation (6.11), to eliminate $L_5(\vec{x})$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}&S(f-e)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)B_3(\vec{x})K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\ &-S(e-a,e-f)A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\\ &\times A(a,b,c,d)A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})\\ &+A(e-a,e-b,e-c,e-d)\\ &\times\big[S(f-a)B_3(\vec{x})-S(e-a,g)A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\\ &\times A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\big]L_6(\vec{x})=0.\end{aligned}\tag{6.17}$$

But the term in square brackets, in (6.17), equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\pi^4 S(f-g)}\begin{bmatrix} S(f-a,f,f-e,g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\ -S(f-a,g,g-e,f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\\ -S(f-g,e-a,g,f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\end{bmatrix}\\
=&\frac{1}{\pi^4 S(f-g)}\begin{bmatrix} S(f-a,f,f-e,g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\ -S(g,f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\\ \times[S(f-a,g-e)+S(f-g,e-a)]\end{bmatrix}\\
=&\frac{1}{\pi^4 S(f-g)}\begin{bmatrix} S(f-a,f,f-e,g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\ -S(f-e,g-a,g,f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\end{bmatrix}\\
=&\frac{S(f-e,f-a,g-a)}{\pi^4 S(f-g)}\begin{bmatrix} S(f,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\ -S(g,f-b,f-c,f-d)\end{bmatrix}\\
=&-\frac{S(f-e,f-a,g-a)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix} S(b,c,d)\\ +S(e-a,f,g)\end{bmatrix}=-S(f-e)B_4(\vec{x}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used parts (a) and (b) of Lemma 5.5. So dividing (6.17) through by $S(f-e)$ yields (6.16). □

Proposition 6.11. *We have the Orbit* 4 (K,L,L) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(d-c)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)\\
&\times A(1-a,1-b,1-c,1-d)A(b,1+b-e,1+b-f,1+b-g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&-A(1-c,e-c,f-c,g-c)B_1(a,b,d,c,e,f,g)L_{\overline{1}}(\vec{x})\\
&+A(1-d,e-d,f-d,g-d)B_1(\vec{x})L_{\overline{2}}(\vec{x})=0. \qquad (6.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From our Orbit 3 (L,K,K) relation (6.6) and its image under the transposition of c and d, we form a linear combination to eliminate $K_{p_1}(\vec{x})$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)A(b,1+b-e,1+b-f,1+b-g)\\
&\times\big[S(c)B_1(a,b,d,c,e,f,g)-S(d)B_1(\vec{x})\big]K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&-S(b-a)A(a,b,c,d)A(1-c,e-c,f-c,g-c)B_1(a,b,d,c,e,f,g)L_{\overline{1}}(\vec{x})\\
&+S(b-a)A(a,b,c,d)A(1-d,e-d,f-d,g-d)B_1(\vec{x})L_{\overline{2}}(\vec{x})=0. \qquad (6.19)
\end{aligned}$$

But the quantity in square brackets, in (6.19), equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{S(b,c,d)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix} S(b-a,c,d-a)+S(e-a,f-a,g-a)\\ -S(b-a,d,c-a)-S(e-a,f-a,g-a)\end{bmatrix}\\ =&\frac{S(b,c,d,b-a)\big[S(d-a,c)-S(c-a,d)\big]}{\pi^4}=\frac{S(a,b,c,d,b-a,d-c)}{\pi^4}\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma (5.5), so dividing (6.19) through by $S(b-a)A(a,b,c,d)$ yields (6.18). □

Proposition 6.12. *We have the Orbit* 2 (L,K,K) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}&A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)B_4(b,a,c,d,e,f,g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\ -&A(1-b,e-b,f-b,g-b)B_4(\vec{x})K_{p_1}(\vec{x})\\ -&S(b-a)A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\ \times&A(a,b,c,d)L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})=0.\end{aligned}\tag{6.20}$$

Proof. Transposing a and b in our Orbit 2 (K,L,L) relation (6.12) yields a relation among $K_{p_1}(\vec{x})$, $L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})$, and $L_5(\vec{x})$. An appropriate combination of this relation with (6.12) effects the elimination of $L_5(\vec{x})$, thus:

$$\begin{aligned}&S(g)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\\ \times&A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)B_4(b,a,c,d,e,f,g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\ -&S(g)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\\ \times&A(1-b,e-b,f-b,g-b)B_4(\vec{x})K_{p_1}(\vec{x})\\ -&A(a,b,c,d)A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\ \times&\big[S(f-b)B_4(\vec{x})+S(f-a)B_4(b,a,c,d,e,f,g)\big]L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})=0.\end{aligned}\tag{6.21}$$

But the quantity in square brackets, in (6.21), equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix} S(f-b,f-a,g-a)\big[S(b,c,d)+S(e-a,f,g)\big]\\ -S(f-a,f-b,g-b)\big[S(a,c,d)+S(e-b,f,g)\big]\end{bmatrix}\\ =&\frac{S(f-a,f-b)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix} S(c,d)\big[S(g-a,b)-S(g-b,a)\big]\\ +S(f,g)\big[S(g-a,e-a)+S(g-b,e-b)\big]\end{bmatrix}\\ =&\pi^{-4}S(f-a,f-b)\big[S(c,d,b-a,g)+S(f,g,b-a,f-c-d)\big]\\ =&\pi^{-4}S(f-a,f-b,g,b-a)\big[S(c,d)+S(f,f-c-d)\big]\\ =&\pi^{-4}S(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d,g,b-a).\end{aligned}\tag{6.22}$$

So dividing (6.21) through by $S(g)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)$ yields (6.20). $\square$

Proposition 6.13. *We have the Orbit* 6 (L,K,K) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(a)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\\
&\times A(1+a-g,1+b-g,1+c-g,1+d-g)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)\\
&\times K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&-A(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g)B_4(\vec{x})K_{n_4}(\vec{x})\\
&-A(a,b,c,d)B_2(\vec{x})L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.23}$$

Proof. Applying the change of variable

$$\vec{x}\to(e-a,e-b,e-c,e-d,e,1+e-f,1+e-g)^T$$

to our Orbit 1 (K,L,L) relation (6.2) yields a relation among $K_{n_4}(\vec{x})$, $L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})$, and $L_4(\vec{x})$. We combine this with our Orbit 4 (L,K,K) relation (6.8) to eliminate $L_4(\vec{x})$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(a,f-a)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\\
&\times A(1+a-g,1+b-g,1+c-g,1+d-g)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)\\
&\times K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&-A(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g)\big[S(f)B_2(\vec{x})\\
&+S(a,g-a)A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\\
&\times A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\big]K_{n_4}(\vec{x})\\
&-S(f-a)A(a,b,c,d)B_2(\vec{x})L_{\overline{6}}(\vec{x})=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.24}$$

But the quantity in square brackets, in (6.24), equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{S(f-a,g-a)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix}S(f)\big[S(b-a,c-a,d-a)+S(e-2a,f-a,g-a)\big]\\ +S(a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\end{bmatrix}\\
&=\frac{S(f-a,g-a)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix}S(f,b-a,c-a,d-a)+S(a,f-b,f-c,f-d)\big]\\ +S(f,e-2a,f-a,g-a)\end{bmatrix}\\
&=\frac{S(f-a,g-a,f-a)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix}S(b,c,d)+S(a,f,g-e)\\ +S(f,e-2a,g-a)\end{bmatrix}\\
&=\frac{S(f-a,g-a,f-a)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix}S(b,c,d)\\ +S(e-a,f,g)\end{bmatrix}=S(f-a)B_4(\vec{x}),
\end{aligned}\tag{6.25}$$

where we have used parts (a) and (b) of Lemma 5.5. So dividing (6.24) through by $S(f-a)$ yields (6.23). □

Proposition 6.14. *We have the Hamming type* 244 (K,K,K) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(f-e)A(1-a,1-b,1+c-g,1+d-g)\\
&\times A(b,1+b-e,1+b-f,1+b-g)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&-A(1+a-e,1+b-e,f-c,f-d)B_5(a,b,c,d,f,e,g)K_{n_4}(\vec{x})\\
&+A(1+a-f,1+b-f,e-c,e-d)B_5(\vec{x})K_{n_8}(\vec{x})=0. \qquad (6.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying equation (7.5) in [9] through by $S(b)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(g-c)\Gamma(g-d)/\pi^4$, and recalling that the function $K(\vec{x})$ defined there is $\pi/\Gamma(1-a)$ times the one defined here, we get (in terms of the present function $K(\vec{x})$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(f-e)A(1-a,1-b,1+c-g,1+d-g)\\
&\times A(b,1+b-e,1+b-f,1+b-g)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&+A(1+a-e,1+b-e,f-c,f-d)\\
&\times\frac{S(b,f-b)}{S(c-b)}\begin{bmatrix}\sin\pi(a-c)\sin\pi(f-a-b)\sin\pi(e-b)\sin\pi(g-b)\\ -\sin\pi(a-b)\sin\pi(f-a-c)\sin\pi(e-c)\sin\pi(g-c)\end{bmatrix}\\
&\times K_{n_4}(\vec{x})\\
&-A(1+a-f,1+b-f,e-c,e-d)\\
&\times\frac{S(b,e-b)}{S(c-b)}\begin{bmatrix}\sin\pi(a-c)\sin\pi(e-a-b)\sin\pi(f-b)\sin\pi(g-b)\\ -\sin\pi(a-b)\sin\pi(e-a-c)\sin\pi(f-c)\sin\pi(g-c)\end{bmatrix}\\
&\times K_{n_8}(\vec{x})=0. \qquad (6.27)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5.5(b) to the terms in square brackets, in (6.27), yields (6.26). □

6.4 Type 246 relations

There are two relations of Type 246: the Orbit 7 (L,K,K) relation and the Hamming type 246 (K,K,K) relation. In any such relation, the coefficient of any K or L function has length $2(2+4+6)-6=18$.

Proposition 6.15. *We have the Orbit 7 (L, K, K) relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(1+a-e, 1+b-e, 1+c-e, 1+d-e)A(1-a, e-a, f-a, g-a)\\
&\times B_4(\vec{x})K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&- S(e-a)A(f-a, f-b, f-c, f-d)A(g-a, g-b, g-c, g-d)\\
&\times A(a, b, c, d)A(a, 1+a-e, 1+a-f, 1+a-g)K_{n_0}(\vec{x})\\
&- C(\vec{x})L_6(\vec{x}) = 0. \qquad (6.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying the central involution

$$\vec{x} \to (1-a, 1-b, 1-c, 1-d, 2-e, 2-f, 2-g)^T$$

to our Orbit 2 (K, L, L) relation (6.12) yields a relation among $K_{n_0}(\vec{x})$, $L_6(\vec{x})$, and $L_{\overline{5}}(\vec{x})$. On the other hand, transposing e and f in (6.12) yields a relation among $K_{p_0}(\vec{x})$, $L_{\overline{5}}(\vec{x})$, and $L_6(\vec{x})$. Of the two new relations thus formed, we fashion a linear combination to eliminate $L_{\overline{5}}(\vec{x})$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(g)A(1+a-e, 1+b-e, 1+c-e, 1+d-e)\\
&\times A(1-a, e-a, f-a, g-a)B_4(\vec{x})K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&- S(g, e-a)A(f-a, f-b, f-c, f-d)A(g-a, g-b, g-c, g-d)\\
&\times A(a, b, c, d)A(a, 1+a-e, 1+a-f, 1+a-g)K_{n_0}(\vec{x})\\
&+ \big[S(e-a, f-a)A(a, b, c, d)A(1-a, 1-b, 1-c, 1-d)\\
&\times A(g-a, g-b, g-c, g-d)A(1+a-g, 1+b-g, 1+c-g, 1+d-g)\\
&- B_4(\vec{x})B_4(a, b, c, d, f, e, g)\big]L_6(\vec{x}) = 0. \qquad (6.29)
\end{aligned}$$

But, by parts (a) and (b) of Lemma 5.5, the quantity in square brackets, in (6.29), equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\pi^{-4}\begin{bmatrix}\pi^{-4}S(e-a,f-a,a,b,c,d,g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\ -S(e-a,g-a)\big[S(b,c,d)+S(e,f-a,g)\big]B_4(\vec{x})\end{bmatrix}\\
&=\frac{S(e-a)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix}\pi^{-4}S(f-a,a,b,c,d,g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\ -S(b,c,d,g-a)B_4(\vec{x})-S(e,f-a,g-a,g)B_4(\vec{x})\end{bmatrix}\\
&=\frac{S(e-a)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix}\pi^{-4}S(f-a,a,b,c,d,g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\ -\pi^{-4}S(b,c,d,g-a,f-a,g-a)\big[S(b,c,d)+S(e-a,f,g)\big]\\ -S(e,f-a,g-a,g)B_4(\vec{x})\end{bmatrix}\\
&=-\frac{S(e-a)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix}\pi^{-4}S(b,c,d,f-a,g-a)\big[-S(a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\ +S(g-a,b,c,d)+S(e-a,f,g-a,g)\big]\\ +S(e,f-a,g-a,g)B_4(\vec{x})\end{bmatrix}\\
&=-\frac{S(e-a)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix}\pi^{-4}S(b,c,d,f-a,g-a)\big[S(b-a,c-a,d-a,g)\\ -S(a,g-a,e+f-2a,g)+S(e-a,f,g-a,g)\big]\\ +S(e,f-a,g-a,g)B_4(\vec{x})\end{bmatrix}\\
&=-\frac{S(e-a)}{\pi^4}\begin{bmatrix}\pi^{-4}S(b,c,d,f-a,g-a)\\ \times\big[S(b-a,c-a,d-a,g)+S(e-2a,f-a,g-a,g)\big]\\ +S(e,f-a,g-a,g)B_4(\vec{x})\end{bmatrix}\\
&=-S(g)\pi^{-4}S(e-a)\left[S(b,c,d)B_2(\vec{x})+S(e,f-a,g-a)B_4(\vec{x})\right]\\
&=-S(g)C(\vec{x}).
\end{aligned}
$$

So dividing (6.29) through by $S(g)$ yields (6.28). □

Proposition 6.16. *We have the Hamming type* 246 (K,K,K) *relation*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&A(1-a,1-b,1-c,1-d)A(1+a-e,1+b-e,1+c-e,1+d-e)\\
&\times B_2(\vec{x})K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&+S(e)A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\
&\times(A(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g))^2K_{n_0}(\vec{x})\\
&-C(\vec{x})K_{p_4}(\vec{x})=0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.30}
$$

Proof. While this may be deduced from Proposition 7.7 in [9], a more direct, self-contained derivation may be given as follows. Applying the change of variable

$$\vec{x}\to(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g,1+a-b,1+a-c,1+a-d)^T$$

to our Orbit 1 (L,K,K) relation (6.1), we obtain a relation among $K_{p_0}(\vec{x})$, $K_{p_4}(\vec{x})$, and $L_6(\vec{x})$. Combining this is an appropriate fashion with our Orbit 7 (K,K,L) relation (6.28), we eliminate $L_6(\vec{x})$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(1+a-e,1+b-e,1+c-e,1+d-e)\big[S(a)C(\vec{x})\\
&-S(e)A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)\\
&\times A(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g)B_4(\vec{x})\big]K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&+S(e-a,e)A(f-a,f-b,f-c,f-d)A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\
&\times A(a,b,c,d)(A(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g))^2K_{n_0}(\vec{x})\\
&-S(e-a)A(a,b,c,d)C(\vec{x})K_{p_4}(\vec{x})=0. \qquad (6.31)
\end{aligned}$$

But the quantity in square brackets, in (6.31), equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(a)\big[C(\vec{x})-\pi^{-4}S(e,e-a,f-a,g-a)B_4(\vec{x})\big]\\
=&\,\pi^{-4}S(a,b,c,d,e-a)B_2(\vec{x}),
\end{aligned}$$

by the definition of $C(\vec{x})$. So dividing (6.31) through by $S(e-a)A(a,b,c,d)$ yields (6.30). □

6.5 Type 444 relations

There are two relations of Type 444: the Orbit 5 (L,K,K) relation and the Hamming type 444 (K,K,K) relation. In any such relation, the coefficient of any K or L function has length $2(4+4+4)-6=18$. (Note that, while the coefficients in a type 246 relation have the same length as those in a type 444 relation, the same cannot be said for the widths of these coefficients. In a relation of the type 246, the three coefficients have widths $1,2$ and 4 respectively, while, in a relation of type 444, all coefficients have width 2.)

Proposition 6.17. *We have the Orbit* 5 (L,K,K) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\\
&\times B_4(e-a,e-b,e-c,e-d,1+e-f,e,1+e-g)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&-A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)A(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g)\\
&\times B_4(a,b,c,d,g,f,e)K_{n_4}(\vec{x})\\
&-A(a,b,c,d)A(e-a,e-b,e-c,e-d)B_2(\vec{x})L_{\overline{4}}(\vec{x})=0. \qquad (6.32)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Transposing f and g in our Orbit 4 (L,K,K) relation (6.8) yields a relation among $K_{p_0}(\vec{x})$, $K_{n_4}(\vec{x})$, and $L_5(\vec{x})$, while transposing e and g in our Orbit 2 (K,L,L) relation (6.12) yields a relation among $K_{p_0}(\vec{x})$, $L_{\overline{4}}(\vec{x})$, and $L_5(\vec{x})$. We form a linear combination of the two new relations thus formed to eliminate $L_5(\vec{x})$, to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(1-a,e-a,f-a,g-a)A(1+a-f,1+b-f,1+c-f,1+d-f)\\
&\times\big[S(g-a)B_4(a,b,c,d,g,f,e)-S(e)B_2(\vec{x})\big]K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&-S(f-a)A(g-a,g-b,g-c,g-d)\\
&\times A(a,1+a-e,1+a-f,1+a-g)B_4(a,b,c,d,g,f,e)K_{n_4}(\vec{x})\\
&-S(f-a)A(a,b,c,d)A(e-a,e-b,e-e,g-d)B_2(\vec{x})L_{\overline{4}}(\vec{x})=0. \qquad (6.33)
\end{aligned}$$

But the quantity in square brackets, in (6.33), equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi^{-4}S(f-a,g-a)\\
&\times\begin{bmatrix} S(e-a)\big[S(b,c,d)+S(e,f,g-a)\big]\\ -S(e)\big[S(b-a,c-a,d-a)+S(e-2a,f-a,g-a)\big]\end{bmatrix}\\
&=\pi^{-4}S(f-a,g-a)\\
&\times\begin{bmatrix} S(e,g-a)\big[S(e-a,f)+S(e-2a,f-a)\big]\\ +S(e-a,b,c,d)-S(e,b-a,c-a,d-a)\end{bmatrix}\\
&=\pi^{-4}S(f-a,g-a)\\
&\times\begin{bmatrix} S(e,g-a,a,e+f-2a)\\ +S(a)\big[S(e-b,e-c,e-d)+S(e,e-a,2a-f-g)\big]\end{bmatrix}\\
&=\pi^{-4}S(a,f-a,g-a)\\
&\times\begin{bmatrix} S(e)\big[S(g-a,e+f-2a)+S(e-a,2a-f-g)\big]\\ +S(e-b,e-c,e-d)\end{bmatrix}\\
&=\pi^{-4}S(a,f-a,g-a)\big[S(e-b,e-c,e-d)+S(e,a-f,e-g)\big]\\
&=S(f-a)B_4(e-a,e-b,e-c,e-d,1+e-f,e,1+e-g).
\end{aligned}$$

So dividing (6.33) through by $S(f-a)$ yields (6.32) $\square$

Proposition 6.18. *We have the Hamming type* 444 (K, K, K) *relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(1-a, e-a, f-a, g-a)A(b, 1+b-e, 1+b-f, 1+b-g)\\
&\times B_5(e-a, b, 1+b-f, g-a, 1+b-a, 1+b+c-f, 1+b+d-f)K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&+A(a, 1+a-e, f-d, g-d)A(b, 1+b-e, f-c, g-c)\\
&\times B_5(1+b-e, b, f-c, g-c, 1+a+b-e, 1+b-c, 1+b+d-e)K_{n_4}(\vec{x})\\
&+A(a, b, c, d)A(e-a, e-b, e-c, e-d)B_5(\vec{x})K_{p_5}(\vec{x}) = 0. \qquad (6.34)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying the result of Proposition 7.6 in [9] through by $S(b)/\Gamma(b)$ (and recalling that the function $K(\vec{x})$ there is $\pi/\Gamma(1-a)$ times the one in use here), we get (in terms of the present definition of $K(\vec{x})$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(1-a, e-a, f-a, g-a)A(b, 1+b-e, 1+b-f, 1+b-g)\\
&\times \frac{S(a,b)}{S(f)}\begin{bmatrix}\sin\pi(c+d-g)\sin\pi e\,\sin\pi(f-c)\sin\pi(f-d)\\ -\sin\pi(e-a-b)\sin\pi(f-e)\sin\pi c\,\sin\pi d\end{bmatrix}\\
&\times K_{p_0}(\vec{x})\\
&+A(a, 1+a-e, f-d, g-d)A(b, 1+b-e, f-c, g-c)\\
&\times \frac{S(b,e-a)}{S(f-b-c)}\begin{bmatrix}\sin\pi(a-b)\sin\pi(g-a-d)\sin\pi c\,\sin\pi(e-d)\\ -\sin\pi(a+c-f)\sin\pi e\,\sin\pi(f-b)\sin\pi(g-a)\end{bmatrix}\\
&\times K_{n_4}(\vec{x})\\
&+A(a, b, c, d)A(e-a, e-b, e-c, e-d)\\
&\times \frac{S(b,e-b)}{S(c-b)}\begin{bmatrix}\sin\pi(a-c)\sin\pi(e-a-b)\sin\pi(f-b)\sin\pi(g-b)\\ -\sin\pi(a-b)\sin\pi(e-a-c)\sin\pi(f-c)\sin\pi(g-c)\end{bmatrix}\\
&\times K_{p_5}(\vec{x}) = 0. \qquad (6.35)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5.5(b) towards simplification of the terms in square brackets, in (6.35), yields (6.34). □

References

[1] W.N. Bailey, Transformations of well-poised hypergeometric series, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **36** (1934), no. 2, 235–240.

[2] W.N. Bailey, Generalized Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1935.

[3] E.W. Barnes, A new development of the theory of hypergeometric functions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **2** (1908), no. 6, 141–177.

[4] E.W. Barnes, A transformation of generalized hypergeometric series, Quart. J. Math. **41** (1910), 136–140.

[5] W.A. Beyer, J.D. Louck, P.R. Stein, Group theoretical basis of some identities for the generalized hypergeometric series, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987), no. 3, 497–508.

[6] F.J. van de Bult, E.M. Rains, J.V. Stokman, Properties of generalized univariate hypergeometric functions, Comm. Math. Phys. **275** (2007), no. 1, 37–95.

[7] D. Bump, Barnes' second lemma and its application to Rankin–Selberg convolutions, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), no. 1, 179–185.

[8] G. Drake (ed.), Springer Handbook of Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics, Springer, New York, 2006.

[9] M. Formichella, R.M. Green, E. Stade, Coxeter group actions on ${}_4F_3(1)$ hypergeometric series, Ramanujan J. **24** (2011), no. 1, 93–128.

[10] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[11] C.F. Gauss, Disquisitiones generales circa seriem infinitam $1 + \frac{\alpha\beta}{1\times\gamma}x + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\beta(\beta+1)}{1\times2\times\gamma(\gamma+1)}xx$ + etc., Werke 3, Königliche Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, Göttingen, 1876, pp. 123–162.

[12] W. Groenevelt, The Wilson function transform, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2003,** no. 52, 2779–2817.

[13] A. Grozin, Lectures on QED and QCD: Practical Calculation and Renormalization of One- and Multi-Loop Feynman Diagrams, World Scientific, Singapore, 2007.

[14] G.H. Hardy, Ramanujan: Twelve Lectures on Subjects Suggested by His Life and Work, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1940.

[15] J.E. Humphreys, Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[16] C. Krattenthaler, T. Rivoal, How can we escape Thomae's relations?, J. Math. Soc. Japan **58** (2006), no. 1, 183–210.

[17] S. Lievens, J. Van der Jeugt, Invariance groups of three term transformations for basic hypergeometric series, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **197** (2006), no. 1, 1–14.

[18] S. Lievens, J. Van der Jeugt, Symmetry groups of Bailey's transformations for ${}_{10}\phi_9$-series, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **206** (2007), no. 1, 498–519.

[19] I. Mishev, Coxeter group actions on supplementary pairs of Saalschützian ${}_4F_3(1)$ hypergeometric series, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Colorado, 2009.

[20] I. Mishev, Coxeter group actions on Saalschützian ${}_4F_3(1)$ series and very-well-poised ${}_7F_6(1)$ series, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **385** (2012), no. 2, 1119–1133.

[21] J. Raynal, On the definition and properties of generalized 6-j symbols, J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979), no. 12, 2398–2415.

[22] M. Roy, Coxeter Group Actions on Complementary Pairs of Very Well-Poised ${}_9F_8(1)$ Hypergeometric Series, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Colorado, 2011.

[23] K. Srinivasa Rao, J. Van der Jeugt, J. Raynal, R. Jagannathan, V. Rajeswari, Group theoretical basis for the terminating ${}_3F_2(1)$ series, J. Phys. A **25** (1992), no. 4, 861–876.

[24] E. Stade, Hypergeometric series and Euler factors at infinity for L-functions on $GL(3,\mathbb{R}) \times GL(3,\mathbb{R})$, Amer. J. Math. **115** (1993), no. 2, 371–387.

[25] E. Stade, Mellin transforms of Whittaker functions on $GL(4,\mathbb{R})$ and $GL(4,\mathbb{C})$, Manuscripta Math. **87** (1995), no. 4, 511–526.

[26] E. Stade, Mellin transforms of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ Whittaker functions, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), no. 1, 121–161.

[27] E. Stade, Archimedean L-factors on $GL(n) \times GL(n)$ and generalized Barnes integrals, Israel J. Math. **127** (2002), 201–220.

[28] E. Stade, J. Taggart, Hypergeometric series, a Barnes-type lemma, and Whittaker functions, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **61** (2000), no. 1, 177–196.

[29] J. Thomae, Ueber die Funktionen welche durch Reihen der Form dargestellt werden: $1 + \frac{pp'p''}{1q'q''} + \cdots$, J. Reine Angew. Math. **87** (1879), 26–73.

[30] J. Van der Jeugt, K. Srinivasa Rao, Invariance groups of transformations of basic hypergeometric series, J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999), no. 12, 6692–6700.

[31] F.J.W. Whipple, A group of generalized hypergeometric series: relations between 120 allied series of the type $F(a, b, c; e, f)$, Proc. London Math. Soc. **23** (1925), no. 2, 247–263.

[32] F.J.W. Whipple, Well-poised series and other generalized hypergeometric series, Proc. London Math. Soc. **25** (1926), no. 2, 525–544.

[33] F.J.W. Whipple, Relations between well-poised hypergeometric series of the type ${}_7F_6$, Proc. London Math. Soc. **40** (1936), no. 2, 336–344.